

PERMISSION TO ADD:  
MATH TEACHING LIMERICKS

Marion Cohen

# MATH 101

Functions are just like numbers.  
They get lugged around like lumber.  
They get add- and subtracted  
and more interacted  
making us dumb and dumber.

(Cost Analysis)

The cost of producing is mixed.  
Part of that cost is fixed.  
And the rest, never-ending  
on level depending  
(or maybe on politics).

(Rational Functions)

Said your favorite Zada or Tante  
“for an asymptote horizonta  
“you need to use both  
“of the leading coeff’s  
“whether or not you wanta.”

(Exponential Functions)

The bottom is perfectly noble  
but  $x$  is now upwardly mobile  
so that constant, poor dear  
must downsize it’s career  
and it’s feeling a bit claustrophob-al.

These guys are as busy as beavers.  
They’ve got Monday morning fever.  
They just grow, grow, and grow.  
They’re NEVER zero.  
And they don’t remain one for long, either.

Do logs give you logarrhea?  
Is a logjam drawing near?  
Just use your credentials  
and take exponentials  
to make those ol’ logs disappear.

(Matrix multiplication)

Just pair off each row with each column.  
Take the sums of those products so solemn.  
Yes, beat the odds  
with those scalar prods  
or whatever the devil you call ‘em.

(Compound Interest)  
"Nothing succeeds like success,"  
as these formulas show with finesse.  
Thus again and again  
A gets bigger with  $n$   
though not quite as big as you'd guess.

(Annuities)  
And now here's another fine source  
(to tickle your brain in this course)  
of mo' and mo' dough.  
It's dough a go-go.  
Oh, don't you just wish it was yours.

# CALCULUS LIMERICKS

Diff-ing  $x$ -to-the- $n$  is fun.  
Change the  $n$  to  $n$  minus one.  
Then go back to  $n$   
and use it again  
in front of it all, and you're done.

It is not my intention to vex.  
It is not my intention to hex.  
My only intention  
is merely to mention:  
The diff of  $x$ -square is  $2x$ .

It is not my intention to glare.  
It is not my intention to scare.  
My only intention  
is merely to mention:  
The diff of  $x$ -cube's  $3x$ -square.

There's a general rule for all this  
(in case someone pulls a pop quiz):  
 $n$  steps down two ways  
for the rest of its days  
and  $x$  remains right where it is.

"Than receive it is better to give."  
Also, "live, live, and let live."  
These are words to clutch  
but not as much  
as "velocity equals deriv".

(General Power Rule)  
Are you bored with powers of  $x$ ?  
What would you like to do next?  
Some powers of  $g$   
will do nicely  
but watch out for the special effects.

On, Dasher! On, Dancer! On, Prancer!  
Don't forget the power in the answer.  
It gives it might  
and makes it right  
also a little fancier.

Three cheers for parentheses!  
They make forests out of trees.  
But be careful about  
what's in and what's out  
or they'll look like they have some disease.

(Product Rule)

Don't forget -- f appears twice.  
g will behave likewise.  
If you make like a dunce  
and write them just once  
... well, you'll get what you get for half-price.

Said a wiseguy named Georgy O'Porgy,  
"Let's have a Quotient Rule orgy.  
"On top, to be nice  
"let g appear twice  
"and then on the bottom one more g."

(Chain Rule)

Rinky, dinky, dinky.  
g provides the link-y.  
But the x and the f  
are not to be left  
unless we run out of ink-y.

For lazy exec's and high techs  
presenting: e-to-the-x.  
To diff it's a cinch  
(to the nearest square inch)  
do nothing at all, just relax.

Ln x, you can't just be.  
You have to get diff'd, yessirree.  
So give ln the slip  
then take the recip  
to get one-over-x, easily.

(General Ln Rule)

On bottom goes the copy.  
And what goes on the top-py?  
Why, the diff, g-prime.  
It makes it rhyme.  
And please don't write it sloppy.

The diff of x-square we all love.  
But what is x-square the diff OF?  
The answer is easy.  
Just change 2 to 3-zy  
and put it below and above.

(Integrating Powers of x)

There's a general rule for all this  
(and it's something you don't want to miss):  
n moves up and down  
all over the town  
and x remains right where it is.

(Integrating Exponentials)

And now here's a grave admonition  
delivered with proper precision:  
It's about that  $k$ .  
It steps down just ONE way  
and the  $x$  doesn't go where it isn't.

Minus-one is a cool special case  
delicious and dainty as lace.  
So don't play the hero.  
Don't divide by zero.  
If you do, be sure to erase.

(Curve-sketching)

We can plot and plot 'til we plotz.  
But we've got to plot the right spots.  
Or those lows and those highs  
could elude us like flies.  
Likewise the flips and the flops.

There was a young man named Kareem  
who explained, "For a local extreme  
"the tangent at a  
"to rest must lay  
"and we wish it the pleasant-est dream."

Said his kissin' cousin Trix  
"But not vice versa -- nix.  
"That tangent can flatten  
"as low as Manhattan  
"with no min, no max, just a mix."

A fair maid from North Minnesota  
was drawing a steep asymptot-a.  
When it got 'way too high  
she murmured bye-bye  
and mourned not a single iota.

(Integration by Parts)

"We need  $f$  and  $g$ -prime," said Mitch  
"and it matters which is which."  
"But not to worry,"  
said his cousin Murray.  
"It doesn't work out, we'll switch."

Root-a-toot too-a-falutin'.  
It's time for some substitutin'.  
Take stuff on display  
and collapse it away  
right along with Leibniz and Newton.

The differential  
is essential.

(Definite Integral, Fundamental Theorem)

This one begins geometric  
and then it becomes quite eclectic.  
It sports f's with grace  
upper and lower case  
and its a's and b's go hectic.

(Area between Curves)

If the graph of x-to-the-sixth  
with x-to-the-eighth is, not mixed  
only placed, for our practice  
on one set of axes  
then subtract to find what's in betwixt.

Don't forget, curves might cross  
in which case you should take time to pause  
so you don't subtract  
when add's where it's at.  
'Twould be a lamentable loss.

To split or not to split?

'Til the question that stymied Hamlet.  
But when you're in doubt  
don't leave the split out  
though it's harder, I admit.

We could sit all prim and proper  
privileged as gold and copper  
but 'twould perk our careers  
if we'd get off our rears  
and become intersection-hoppers.

(Solids of Revolution)

A strapping young woman named Evvie  
was handed a solid of rev-y  
and asked for the volume.  
She answered, quite solemn  
"it's not very big but it's heavy."

(Average Value of a Function)

Riki tiki tavi.  
Here's some calculus savvy.  
The inteGRAL  
o'er the interVAL  
will give us our function's av-y.

(Integration Techniques: Sine-Saving)

Said a technique freak named Zeek  
"If you think sine-saving is chic  
"and you want to save  
"yourself into the grave  
"try cos and tan and sec."

Here's a litty ditty  
helpful if not cute:  
What we save is the deriv  
of what we substitute.

(Deriv's of Trig Functions)  
A darling named Clementine  
said, "First sine, then cos, then sine.  
"And the minus and plus  
"make things even wuss.  
"Can't it make up its mind?"

A Non-Limerick:  
Can we integrate tan?  
Yes, we can.  
Can we integrate secant?  
No, we can't.

(Trig-triggers -- i.e., trigonometric substitutions)  
A trig-triggering trickster from Beacon  
is stuck on an odd-powered secant.  
An integral table  
would render him able  
but his conscious is prodding "no peekin' ".

Trig, trigger, triggerest.  
Try not to be too vigorous.  
If you trig too much trig  
it'll get too big  
and you'll fall down just like Icarus.

(l'Hospital's Rule) (Good Golly, Miss Molly! Here comes l'Hospital-y.)  
There was a fair maid from Nepal  
who was expert in ol' l'Hospital.  
She diff'd 'til she dropped  
on bottom and top  
then murmured "Nice knowing y'all."

(Hyperbolic Functions) (Are you a hyperbolic-aholic?)  
Take the trig I.D.'s, all kinds  
add h to those cos's and sin's  
and this derring-do  
leaves them all still true  
except for a few miinus-signs.

(Completing the Square)  
When the middle term nerdily lurks  
use this to get rid of the jerk.  
Sometimes a wild guess  
will get rid of the mess.  
And algebra always works.

(Approximate Integration)

The Trapezoid Rule can be fun.  
All those 2's will get the job done.  
But watch out, my friends  
for the left and right ends.  
At those we will need only 1.

Cheer up. (Don't look like such wrecks.)  
I know this seems pretty complex.  
And there's many a y  
where the midpoints lie  
but there's only one delta-x.

Just look at those sixes and fours!  
They're the prettiest things in this course.  
And this cool rule of Simpson  
will stay sweet and winsome  
once you've become sophomores.

(Improper Integrals)

Said a ship-shape chap from the Congo  
"Since our region is infinite long-o  
"it's likely that you'd  
"be inclined to conclude  
"that it's infinite big, but you're wrong-o."

Said a dashing young bloke named Apollo  
"Since our region is infinite tall-o  
"It's likely you'll dig  
"it be infinite big  
"but sorry, that doesn't quite follow."

(Separable Differential Equations)

A ditzo from hither or thither  
refused to get all in a tither.  
"Tis true," said he, "I  
"can't tell x from y  
"but can't tell left from right-y, either."

The vertical line test's a pity.  
It stops curves from being pretty.  
But we can make loops  
without saying "Oops?"  
with parametric graph-iti.

(Polar Coordinates)

With these we can also be arty.  
We can have a plotting party.  
While away the hours  
making petals and flowers  
along with Mercenne and Descartes.

Would you like to come up to the board  
and draw  $r$  and  $\theta$  coord's?  
We'll get hulas and hoops  
and loop de loops  
and other delicious rewards.

(Geometric series)

The first in our fine repertoire  
is one-over-one-minus- $r$ .  
We shouldn't forget it  
for where we are headed  
or else we won't head very far.

A savvy young fellow names Frederick  
summed an infinite geometric.  
But he did not addition  
just subtract- and division  
for he knew his rote and his rhetoric.

(Infinite Series -- Comparison Test)

Smaller than small is small.  
Taller than tall is tall.  
And that's how it goes  
and that's how one knows  
whether anything happens at all.

(Limit Comparison Test)

Eventually smaller than small  
and eventually taller than tall  
is as small and as tall  
as we need things to fall  
and that will take care of it all.

(Integral Test)

If we know what happens with  $n$ 's  
all  $x$ 's will follow, my friends.  
It's all essentially  
the same, consequentially  
and on each the other depends.

(Alternating Series)

However they rageth and roareth  
and wobbleth back and forth,  
you'll eventually find  
that they make up their mind  
someplace between south and north.

An industrious lad from the near East  
was summing a long Taylor series.  
At the twentieth head  
he just shrugged and said,  
"That's as far as I'm going, my dearies."

(Maclaurin Series)

The coeff. of x-to-the-n  
is as simple as counting to ten.  
There's a derivation  
and an exclamation  
again and again and again.

(Taylor Series about  $x_0$ )

Up to now x-nought was zer-e-o.  
But now we've got this new theory-o  
in case Maclaurin  
was gettin' borin'  
and you were gettin' weary-o.

Can you count? Then here's one for you:  
One less x to the minus-two.  
It's quite easy.  
Write 1, 2, 3  
and the powers and the plus-signs, too.

(Separable Differential Equations)

A ditzo from hither or thither  
refused to get all in a tither.  
" 'Tis true," said he, "I  
"can't tell x from y  
"but can't tell left from right-y, either."

(Partial Deriv's)

We x 'em and we y 'em.  
But not both at the same time.  
 $f_x$  is one  
 $f_y$ 's also fun  
though we never get to f-prime.

(End-of-Term Farewell)

Yes, I know, it's 10:49.  
But this proof needs one more line.  
I promise I'll write  
with all of my might.  
(Keep mum if I'm off by a sign.)

# COMPLEX ANALYSIS

A reality complex had Fred.  
He felt kind of bad in the head.  
So he took Complex Var  
with Cohen Mar  
got a complex complex instead.

There was a young lady named Suzie  
who couldn't add  $2z$  and  $2z$ .  
She said, "Can't it be  
"without that ol'  $z$ ?"  
She's being a little too choosy.

(nth Roots of Unity)  
There are  $n$  of them sprawled on a wheel.  
Among them at most two are real.  
The others must go  
half above, half below.  
But they get paired off in the deal.

$e$ -to-the- $z$  is exotic.  
And it changes a sum to a product.  
It has no root  
but it's kind of cute  
and it's vertically periodic.

A young man named Kenny macKenzie  
had trouble computing  $\ln z$ .  
He breezed through the  $r$ -part  
with the slickness of pop art  
but the  $\theta$ -part gave him a frenzy.

Here's the key to  $z$ -to-the- $c$ :  
It's just  $e$ -to-the- $c \ln z$ .  
But watch for  $\ln y$ .  
Its values are many  
though sometimes  $e$  makes them agree.

(Said Sin and Cos)  
"In trig and in calc, all through  
one was the limit we knew.  
But now we're set free.  
Now we can be  
anything we desire such as two."

How smart the conditions of C-R !  
They're almost as brilliant as we are.  
But they're known for their sly-ness.  
Watch out for that minus  
or we'll spend half the night in the E.R.

Yes, here they come, hot off the griddle  
spiffy and spicy and little.  
One sports a plus  
the other mi-nus  
and none of them sports the middle.

The research team Cauchy and Goursat  
was busting its brain and its torso.  
They went huffin' and puffin'  
but came up with nothin'.  
Now they're living on noodles and orzo.

The pretty professor from Jersey  
just stood there and pleaded for mercy.  
She said "in complex  
"v-y equals u-x --  
"or maybe it's vice vers-y."

i after e after u  
after pi after n after 2  
after p'renthesis ln  
and then no more spellin'  
unless we can find something new.  
(Thanks to Bob Blackard for getting me started on this one.)

Our pretty professor's a rarity.  
Her lectures the height of clarity.  
Except that when  
she does minus-one to the n  
n turns out to be the wrong parity.

The pretty professor, our rarity  
was engulfed in hyper-hilarity  
'cause again she had spun  
a spare minus-one.  
She said, "Well, we can give it to charity."

(About  $\oint f(x)/(z - z_0)^n$  )  
When C's end is at its beginnin'  
ask "Is z-nought out'n or in'n ?"  
Draw the point, draw the curve.  
Then stand back and observe.  
If in doubt get a second opin'on.

Computing it's easy as pie  
(especially if you try).  
There's a derivation  
and an exclamation  
and don't forget two pi i.

This classroom is water- and sun-proof  
and poison- and noisin'- and gun-proof.  
It's as pure as the Gospel  
of everything poss'ble  
except that it's not minus-one-proof.

Geometric ser's are a gem.  
But let's add that little m --  
OUTSIDE, if you please  
the parentheses.  
Did you hope I'd forget about them?

The last of those spunky Mohicans  
was at work on a long Cauchy sequence.  
It was i - pi - y  
from Mon to Fri  
and he took it home on the weekends.

Can you count? Then here's one for you.  
One less z to the minus-two.  
Write 1, 2, 3  
then blank, z, z  
and the pow'rs and the plus-signs, too.

A fair maid from Northern Miami  
was at work on the triple-whammy.  
She tried subtraction  
and partial fractions.  
Then she called for her pappy and mammy.

A lazy young lad named Laurent-o  
used his series to integrate pronto.  
Just b-one will do  
and as for b-two  
you need only find that it you want to.

O what will the fair miad named Tessa do?  
She's been after a simple-pole residue.  
She says, "NOW I see.  
"It's q-prime UNDER p.  
"Then I plug in z-nought -- or I guess I do."

TEACH-TONE PHONE (Remember, I gave out my phone number? Well, no  
one ever called, but if you had, here's what my recording said -- See what you  
missed?)  
For Cauchy-Goursat  
press O.

For some integral fun  
press 1.  
For a residue  
press 2.  
For  $1 / (1 - z)$   
press 3.  
For contours galore  
press 4.  
But to find out the fate of a minus sign  
be patient, please, and stay on the line.

# LINEAR ALGEBRA

Allow me some words of great wisdom  
about any linear system:  
It is represented  
by a matrix, augmented  
which explains this course's existence.

Do row op upon row op.  
Keep doing them 'til you drop  
or until rectangular  
becomes triangular.  
(And the triangle's on top.)

The form which is just-plain row ech-  
means surely you'll still have to thresh  
with back substitution  
so keep on reducin'  
to get x-sub-i in the flesh.

(Matrix multiplication)  
Just pair off each row with each column.  
Take the sum of those products so solemn.  
Yes, beat the odds  
with those scalar prods  
or whatever the devil ya call 'em.

(Definition of Determinant)  
O, nothing could ever be finer  
than replacing A major with minor.  
Of course, for most n  
we must do it again.  
And the signs will get sign-er and sign-er.

( $\det A \times \det B = \det AB$ )  
I don't mean to cause you to squirm  
but the computations confirm  
that determ before prod  
(in the eyes of God)  
gives the prod before the determ.

When we wish that our fingers were toes  
and we wish that the columns were rows  
and the i's and the j's  
are turned the wrong ways,  
'tis time to bring on the transpose.

(how to find A-inverse)  
On cofactors get yourself versed.  
But start with the transpose first.  
And do not forget  
to divide by det  
or you'll wind up forever cursed.

If you know how to cut and to paste  
and can figure determ's with great haste  
and one more aside:  
if you've learned to divide  
then by Cramer's cool rule you are graced.

(to decide whether a given set of functions is linearly independent)  
Line up all the functions in sight.  
Then diff them with all of your might.  
Then sing a sweet song  
of right and of wrong  
as we get that ol' Wronskian right.

A young man named Timothy Tigen  
was searching for values eigen.  
But the characteristic  
and other logistics  
were such that he needed to try 'gain.

(how to diagonalize)  
E-values will get us big-D.  
E-vectors will get us homefree.  
Yep, string up the specs  
and line up the x  
and we'll get where we needed to be.

If its set of e-vectors is sizeable  
then A is diagonalizable.  
And the converse, too  
has been tried and true.  
(I hope that is all recognizable.)

(how to exponentiate a matrix)  
Proceed as the previous verse  
has instructed (for better or worse).  
Treat D as you're tempted  
and X is exempted  
except we'll still need its inverse.

(Definition of vector space)  
We plus 'em and muss 'em and mess 'em.  
We shrink 'em and stretch 'em and press 'em.  
And still they will be  
inside our big-V.  
Such fine loyal souls, the Lord bless 'em.

(subspaces)

We can push and pull and stress  
and otherwise make a big mess  
and they'll not only be  
inside our big-V  
they'll also remain in big-S.

Can we get infinity from fin  
(without committing a sin)?  
Oh yes we sure can  
with the kind help of span.  
(After all, it's the past tense of spin.)

(dimension)

Each basis goes by its own name.  
Each vector goes by its own aim.  
But if you would count  
the number-amount  
the answers will turn out the same.

Base B can be used to express  
any member of V or of S.  
But the thigamabob  
that will pull off the job  
depend on which B we possess.

To get thigamabob for C  
from thigamabob for B  
will require the rendition  
of matrix, transition  
and seldom will they agree.

(linear operators)

They're linear, down to the core.  
They take zero to zero, no more.  
And sums and doubles  
and all other troubles  
to what they had been before.

(Multiplication by any matrix is a linear operator.)

Said a fair young maid named Alexis  
"Ax will double if x does  
and A takes a sum  
into something hum-drum  
and there won't be any more extras."

(rank)

A matrix has n column-vectors.  
Indeed, it's a vector collector.  
It's all touchy-feel-y  
but how many, really  
are there and how many are exter?

(Column rank = row rank)  
You can put them in lines or in layers.  
And then, if you say the right prayers  
it won't matter which.  
The quarter-turn switch  
won't change what's essentially there.

(Every linear operator = left multiplication by some matrix.)  
Just take what  $L$  does to each  $e$   
and line them all up merrily.  
And that's a good way  
to get your big- $A$ .  
(Watch out so you don't get a  $B$ .)

Let's all do a little Gram-Schmidt  
get orthogonal vectors that fit.  
At every stage  $k$   
I'll show you the way.  
(At  $k = n$  we can quit.)

# VECTOR ANALYSIS

Here's something we might contemplate:  
A vector's an arrow so straight.  
For forces, its length  
expresses its strength  
and direction expresses its fate.

Two vectors, whatever the size  
can be added, component-wise  
so make so this rhymes  
by adding three times  
then put in the k, j, and i's.

(Scalar Multiplicatoin)  
A spunky young woman named Ralpa  
would multiply vectors by alpha.  
She thought it quite nice  
to multiply thrice  
in this city of Philadal-pha.

(Scalar Product)  
Here's the most fun you ever had:  
Take products three times and then add.  
Yes, do mind your mommas  
and DON'T put in commas  
(or momma will tell your Dad).

(Vector Product)  
In this glossy and glorious firmament  
nothing's more perfect or permanent  
or more fancy-free  
for u criss-cross v  
than our ever-lovin' determinant.

i, j, and k are cute  
and they're specially fixed to compute.  
E.g., i cross j  
is lower-case k.  
but as arrows, they're too short to shoot.

(Some curve parametrization hints)  
Straight lines are quite matter-of-fact  
if you know how to add and subtract.  
True, there's multiplication  
in this situation  
but nothing on which you need act

Although we are all mere amateurs  
in this business of making parameters  
when it's circles in question  
I have a suggestion:  
Try not to forget the diameters.

(Formula for Curvature)  
r-dot dot r-dot-dot.  
Yes, sometimes we dot a lot.  
But dot-dot-dot?  
Or r-quad-dot?  
. . . Well, sometimes we do not.

For that curvature for t' compute  
that formula's really a beaut.  
But when figuring kappa  
do mind your Pappa  
and don't you forget that square root.

It's easy and fun to do grad.  
It makes us feel gleesome and glad.  
Don't you feel so alive  
when you partial-deriv?  
But put commas, now -- don't you dare add.

Here's something no one will object to:  
When doing the div please expect to  
takes partials, three  
of componenets of v  
and be careful which with respect to.

Aloha, tres bien, and shalom.  
May I mention a vital syndrome?  
Towards the end of the div  
you should get additive  
(or just wait 'til your father gets home).

Now, the hardest is curl, we agree.  
But let's have a determinant spree.  
First, three vectors little  
next, del in the middle  
and then on the bottom goes v.

All told (and telling it true)  
there's more in the curl to do.  
We've got partials six  
and note how they mix  
and the answer's a vector, too.

(Directional Deriv.)

If we're out in the wide blue yon  
rates of change depend, which directi-on.  
So divide b by mag  
then dot it with grad  
and we'll find out how quickly we've gone.

(Unit Normals to Surfaces Given in the Form  $f(x, y, z) = C$ )

Said a dashing young man named Aeneas  
"I've got a few dandy ideas:  
"Just take our f's grad  
"divide by the mag  
"and it doesn't much matter what C is."

(Reality check)

When these poems you so dutif'ly edit  
keep one thing in mind (to my credit):  
I realize that mag  
does not rhyme with grad  
but the point is: YOU won't forget it.

(Surface Parametrization Hints -- Plane)

If you want to be this term's winner  
remember, the keyword is linear.  
So let us let z be  
 $ua + vb$   
(for integrals outer and inner).

(Cylinder)

First, think of the xy-plane.  
(That's not such a drain on the brain.)  
Next, move once around  
and then up and down.  
If tired out, go hop on the train.

(Sphere)

Said a student from South Singapore  
"We use cosines and sines galore  
"or the sum of three squares  
"would give us nightmares --  
"Yes, that's what the trig stuff is for."

(Line Integrals)

And now we've got functions three.  
What could the integral be?  
The answer, essential:  
Component, tangential.  
It gets things in terms of small-t.

(Surface Integrals)

Next, functions (three) with a surface  
(And Murphy's Law gets more Murfish).  
But the answer, informal:  
Component, normal  
to get u's and v's at our service.

(Triple Integrals)

Now, triple int's can be quite chic  
so of them we'll now start to speak.  
But one thing clear:  
No vectors here  
(or you're grounded for a week).

(Divergence Theorem)

Next, the surface int. above  
that we've all come to know and love  
will be giving 'way  
on this fabulous day  
to a triple int. of the div. of.

(Stokes Theorem)

And now it is late, not early.  
'Tis time for Stokes' Theorem, surely.  
Any int. (closed line)  
can be redesigned  
as an int. involving the curl-y.

(Reality Check)

Again, as these lim'ricks you edit  
remember one thing (to my credit):  
I know that designed  
does not rhyme with line  
but it rhymes more than how Stokes said it.

## DIFF EQ

$(y^{(n)} = f(y^{(n-1)}, y^{(n-2)} \dots y', y, t))$  -- the general differential equation)

A math buff named Mathie Mathias  
said "Praise the above to the highest.

"Just look at those n's

"as they sprout from our pens.

"And the f and the t

"like a grand filigree.

"And jamborees

"of parentheses.

"And then, for high dramas

"those wonderful commas.

"There's so much good stuff

"(enough for a buff).

"We just need to find out what y is."

(keystrokes for solving diff eq's on the TI89 or 92)

Morale-ful and most rationale-ful  
are you with F3 and then ALPHA.

Next, something quite pleasant --  
a left-leaning crescent  
that springs us as spry as alfalfa.

It's time to punch in the equation.

Two hints for this happy occasion:

2nd equals for prime

(It works every time.)

and star for the multiplication.

And now to move in for the kill.

(I'm certain that all of you will.)

Two letters, two commas.

(You'll need them, I promise.)

That crescent, then ENTER, then chill.

(To solve any first order linear equation --  $y' + py = g$  -- use the formula,

$$y = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[ \int \mu g + C \right] \quad \text{where } \mu = e^{\int p}$$

Don't forget --  $\mu$  appears twice.

I think that advice is quite nice.

But only one g

and only one C.

(We should sometimes economize.)

A fair maid from Northwest Virginia  
was solving a first order linear.  
But that exponential int.  
was too large to print  
so she just make it shorter and skinnier.

To deal with conditions initial  
(and to make the whole thing official)  
just plug in t-naught  
(as I carefully taught).  
If you need some assistance, just whistle.

(Reality check)  
As these poems you so dutifully edit  
keep one thing in mind to my credit:  
I know that "initial"  
does not rhyme with "whistle".  
The point is that YOU won't forget it.

(Separable equations)  
A ditzo from hither or thither  
refused to get all in a tither.  
" 'Tis true," said he, "I  
"can't tell t from y  
"can't tell left from right-y, though, either."

(Sect. 2.4, First Order Linear Appreciation Week)  
Sing praises and sound the fanfare  
for these creatures so extraordinaire  
with solutions all  
at our beck and call  
uniquely explicit there.

Yes, the perks we will find pretty broad.  
Perhaps we should stand and applaud.  
Solutions torpedo  
just where p and g do.  
It's not about t- or y-naught.

(Reality check)  
As you go through those poems like a pro  
keep one thing in mind, apropos:  
I realize that "naught"  
does not rhyme with "broad"  
but neither does "zero" or "oh".

(Picard's successive approximations, proof of existence of solutions to first  
order equations)  
Again and again and again  
from  $n - 1$  to each  $n$ .  
We'll get closer and closer  
(If cos, we'll get cos-er.)  
but making no promises when.

(Sect. 2.5)

Autonomous first orders mean  
no t's on the right-hand scene  
which leads to inclusion  
of straight-line solutions  
and curved ones in between.

Some of these level straight lines  
will separate growths from declines.  
Sat'ration, tresholds  
make up today's specials  
assuming that you are all buyin'.

(Reality check)

As these poems you so carefully edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I realize that "buyin."  
and "declines" don't rhyme.  
But it'll still work if you let it.

According to my nifty notes  
some straight lines will be asymptotes.  
They swim side by side  
swept along by the tide.  
The others just lazily float.

(2nd-order linear homogeneous, constant coefficients)

With a, b, and c not of t  
we're footloose and most fancy-free.  
Change the primes to powers.  
It takes seconds, not hours.  
(At least that's how long it takes me.)

Take each of r-one and r-two  
and place it as I always do:  
Upper-right, e  
in front of the t.  
Connect with the C's and you're through.

I hope you don't mind one small glitch:  
If those roots we can't tell which is which  
then do as you've done  
but only with one.  
The other to t we must hitch.

There are many solutions diverse-y.  
But two's all we need to disburse-y.  
y-one and y-two  
one for me, one for you  
(or maybe it's vice vers-y).

Yes, we've got these solutions so sundry.  
And we can ignore them on Sunday.  
And that's very sweet.  
But don't hit delete.  
We'll need every one come next Monday.

(Reduction of Order)  
We've y-one but are missing y-two.  
So whaddaya think we should do?  
We don't need to panic  
nor call a mechanic.  
We'll just change the C to a u.

(3rd order linear homogenous)  
Solutions sprawl over the city.  
But we need just three for this ditty.  
y-one, two, and three.  
Two for you, one for me  
(or maybe one for the kitty).

(higher order linear homogenous)  
All over the country they swarm-y  
which makes for some very good karm-y.  
y-one to y-n.  
It's all very Zen.  
And enough to supply the whole army.

(2nd order linear INhomogenous)  
Solutions exist by the ton more.  
But we only need to find one more.  
We'll do that now.  
I'll show you how.  
(It's the same as at Swarthmore or Bryn Mawr.)

(Reality Check)  
As these poems you so carefully weigh  
keep one thing in mind for today:  
I realize that "Bryn"  
and "one" don't begin  
to rhyme, but I think that's okay.

(Method of Undetermined Coefficients)  
p and q are just constants -- how nice.  
Yes, that left side's like paradise.  
But all-hell will explode  
from the right of the ODE.  
Well, at least that side doesn't have y's.

(Reality check)  
As these poems you so carefully edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I know that "y's"  
does not rhyme with "nice"  
but "wice" would be just as airheaded.

Those g's can be bigtime heartbreakers.  
 But we can turn into matchmakers  
 and look for y-p's  
 that go with those g's  
 and all will be peaceful as Quakers.

Sometimes all we need recommend  
 is to multiply g by some friend.  
 But sometimes, indeed  
 to diff we will need  
 and then maybe diff once again.

(modified Method of Undetermined Coefficients)  
 When faced with a difficult g  
 that's the same as y-one or y-three  
 (or some combo of)  
 just change the above  
 to above multiplied by t.

(Modified modified...)  
 And if that new g is still rude  
 as to one of the y-i include  
 then take it from there  
 by using t-square  
 or wouldja believe t-cube?

(Extra-credit limerick -- variation of parameters (not covered in this syllabus --  
 for when g doesn't lend itself to the Method of Undetermined Coeff's)  
 We've y-one and y-two sublime.  
 But g isn't zero this time.  
 So do Var. of Par.  
 with Cohen Mar  
 to help with the rhythm and rhyme.

$$W(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_n \\ y_1' & y_2' & \dots & y_n' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n)} & y_2^{(n)} & \dots & y_n^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Line up all the functions in sight.  
 Then diff them with all of your might.  
 Next, sing a sweet song  
 or right and of wrong  
 as we get that ol' Wronskian right.

There was a fair maid from the Bronx-kies  
 who quite enjoyed tink'ring with Wronskies.  
 She diff'd 'til she dropped  
 to bottom from top.  
 Then she shrugged and called out her so-long-skies.

(Chapter 4: higher order equations)

As  $n$  becomes higher and higher  
the frying pan turns into fire.  
The text gets texture  
and  $x$  gets  $x$ -er  
and  $y$  becomes  $y$ -er and  $y$ -er.

( $n$ th roots of 1)

There are  $n$  of them spread on a wheel.  
Among them at most two are real.  
The others must go  
half above, half below.  
But they get paired off in the deal.

(Reduction of Order)

We've  $y$ -one but are missing  $y$ - $n$   
and you're asking me "Vat denn?"  
Well, do Red of Ord  
though you might get bored  
'cause you'll do it again and again.

(Chapter 5: power series)

An ambitious young lad from the Near East  
was summing a long Taylor series.  
At the twentieth head  
he just shrugged and said  
"That's as far as I'm going, my dearies."

(using power series to solve  $y'' + py' + qy = g$ )

Right now they seem mystic as voodoo  
and I know them better than you do.  
But soon, you will see  
you'll know them like me  
and then you can put them on U-tube.

(Reality Check)

As you scrutinize that, my fine fellas  
I hope you're not overly zealous.  
I realize that "voo-doo"  
does not rhyme with "U-tube".  
But neither does anything else.

The ODE we're about to discuss is  
about to turn Taylor's for us's.

$p, q, g, y$  --  
all will comply  
except for the equals and plusses.

Yep, series are pretty okay.

Three cheer-ies for series today.  
But there is one catch:  
The powers won't match.  
Or rather, they won't right away.

Of one other thing to be wary:  
The first n will be arbitrary.  
But the others, so mercifully  
beckon recursively.  
That seems to be customary.

(Euler-Cauchy equations)  
They sport both a dx and dy  
as well as an x-square and by.  
The thing to do next:  
guess a power of x.  
Go plus it all in and you'll see why.

(regular singular points)  
Although they might be homogenous  
and although you might be quite a genius,  
some ODE's will meet Taylor  
with nothing but failure.  
For success you must turn to Frobenius.

(Chapter 6: Laplace transform)  
At first L will seem like a mess  
gulping down t to excess.  
But we'll soon get our due  
as we follow it through  
and watch as L spits out an s.

( $L(1) = 1 / s$ )  
1 is a cinch to abide --  
to add or subtract or divide.  
But it's tricky to tell  
how 1 fares under L.  
Here's a hint: It does not run and hide.

(  $L(e^{at}) = 1 / (s-a)$  )  
If ever you find it essential  
to big-L an exponential.  
All you need say  
is s minus a.  
(You needn't say 1; that's tangential.)

(  $L(t^p) = \Gamma(p+1) / s^{p+1}$  )  
It's easy to big-L a power.  
(the LaPlace bargain of the hour.)  
On top, with much glamor  
sits a lofty gamma  
and on bottom a higher power.

(Reality Check)

As these poems you so caref'ly review  
keep one thing in mind as you do:  
I knew that last time  
I missed a true rhyme.  
But I think that's fine, don't you?

(  $L(\cosh at) = s / (s^2 - a^2)$  ,  $s > |a|$  )

There is a fine student named Josh  
who's seeking the transform of cosh.  
On top, his wild guess  
of just plain-old s  
works great, but the bottom's mishmosh.

(  $L(\sin at) = a / (s^2 + a^2)$  )

Another math student so fine  
can easily L any sin.  
She says, with a flair  
"On bottom go squares  
"and on top something small and benign."

(  $L(e^{at} \sin bt) = b / [(s - a)^2 + b^2]$  )

May I dare the suggestion to drop  
that the answer to any long slop  
has got to be long  
if it's not to be wrong  
though it needn't be long at the top.

$$L[u_c(t)f(t - c)] = e^{-cs}(Lf)(s)$$

$$L[e^{ct}f(t)] = (Lf)(s - c)$$

Now we come to two formulas shifty  
which turn out to be nifty and thrifty.  
Indeed, there's no doubt  
that they really help out  
(even when c's more than fifty)

$$L(f^{(n)}) = s^n (Lf) - s^{n-1}f(0) - s^{n-2}f'(0) - \dots$$

$$sf^{(n-2)}(0) - f^{(n-1)}(0)$$

A young man named Trevory-y Treffis  
is happy to write this short preface:

"The formula with  
"L-ing a deriv  
"is valid no matter what f is."

(More about that formula, all that stuff on the right)  
The first term's a big-L rendition  
The others have big-L omission.  
They're a polynom  
(good for this poem)  
with coeff's from initial conditions.

He says and she says and we says  
"If g of t is in pieces  
"we don't need to sell it  
"we need only L it  
"thus ironing out all the creases."

(Reality check)  
As these poems each of you-folks addresses  
you have to admit my successes:  
The last seven stanzas --  
perfection bonanzas  
(give or take one or two s's).

It isn't so horribly brutal  
to L the whole kit and caboodle  
except that it ends  
with inverse-L, my friends  
and that can be faroff as Pluto.

(Chapter 7: Systems)  
Are you feeling zany-brain-eous?  
Then try some simultaneous.  
Yes, further O.D.E.  
(in case we were greedy)  
plus matrices miscellaneous.

(about the solutions to  $x' = Ax$  -- the matrix form of the linear system of diff'l equations)  
The values go next to the t's  
the vectors go next to the e's.  
Then all will be well  
(we'll be able to tell)  
once they're combined with the C's.

Well, now that we found out what y was  
(Were you almost as anxious as I was?)  
we all can go home  
at the end of this poem.  
(Drive safely along the highways.)

(Reality check)  
As you read through that previous poem  
don't be too hard on Dr. Cohen.  
I know that highways  
does not rhyme with y was.  
But let's leave well enough alone.

## ADDENDUM: Legendre and Bessel

There was a young woman named Sally.  
Legendre was right up her alley.  
"Minus-x-square plus one  
"is what starts the fun  
"and n-square plus n's the finale."

Her cousin named Mary Magee  
said "Bessel seems besser to me.  
"x-square WITHOUT one  
"seems much more fun  
"and nu's cooler than n," said she.

Their cousin from Doodle-Skadiddle  
said, "Yes, but what's in the middle?"  
Answered they, "we suspects  
"it's x or 2x.  
"We're feeling a big non-committal."

An attractive young ms. named Cassandra  
was solving equations Legendre.  
a-nought was do-able  
a-one construable  
but a-(n+2) was beyond 'er.

There was a fine fellow named Ian  
who was ready to give up on  $p_n$ .  
He said, "Too much mess  
"with the n and the x".  
And sometimes I can't help agreein'.

Let's do  $J_n$  versus  $P_n$ ,  
The former's a much smaller bein'  
with much less subtraction  
and all told, less action  
'cept: more plus-signs in between.

(Bessels)  
In these guys the x appears twice  
'cause the pow'rs get strategically sliced.  
The x that is mighty  
goes to the right-y.  
To the left goes the x that is nice.

# PDE

A lad thought he'd service society  
by studying math and psychiatry.  
But his plans went a-ragin'  
with the first wave equation  
'cause he got Separation Anxiety.

Four, Fourier, Fouriest --  
Isn't it just-plain glorious?  
How, from sin's and cos's  
we get (by osmosis)  
so much, and emerge victorious.

(Fourier Series in Exponential Form)  
Those functions e-to-the-in-x  
can be treated like cos x and sin x.  
And if they can't  
call me Bell Atlant-  
or try MCI or Ninex.

(Fourier Integral)  
Are we feeling all morbid and mopey  
just 'cause  $f(x)$  has no p?  
Don't be so timid.  
Go to the limit.  
When there's life, there's always hope-y.

It's Jan. 14 and our prof  
by a factor of TWO is off.  
Is she going to cry?  
Says she, "No, not I.  
"In fact, I'm more likely to laugh."

Do you think this is getting hum-drum?  
It's time to consider a drum-drum.  
We'll get double series  
and double theories  
and B's and B\*'s under 'em.

Next we make our rod very lengthy  
thus increasing its impact and strength-y.  
So we've lost the L  
but gained integrell.  
For thy patience, I humbly thank thee.

There was a spry guy from North Saigon  
who was searching for values eigen-  
But the boundary conditions  
surpassed all his wishin's  
and wouldn't let bygones be bygone.

Oh, trig functions bellow and bark  
and Bessel's go bump in the dark.  
but Sturm-Liouville creatures  
have all sorts of features  
and fill up the whole Noah's ark.

# ABSTRACT ALGEBRA

We're a sad bunch of nincompoops.  
We can't get a grip on our groups.  
We ask, in a frenzy  
“ $Z_n$  or  $nZ$ ?”  
guess wrong, so grin and go “Oops”.

This quarter we're all pretty group-y.  
It can get pretty meaty and soup-y.  
 $Z_3$  order 3  
 $Z_p$  order p  
and D-sub-p order 2p.

(You know how that religious mathematician Kronecker said “God created the integers; the rest is the work of man” -- Well . . .)  
“Integers are neat,” said God  
“both the even and the odd.  
“So I'll give you some, Kronecker  
“for Christmas or Chanukkah.  
“Do you mind if I package them mod?”

Said a group theory pro named McClellan  
“We've too many isom and elem  
“and homom and autom  
“but already bought 'em.  
“Perhaps we could try to re-sell 'em.”

(Automorphisms)  
Some're outer and some're inner.  
All're autom's (although it's winter).  
Some turn out to be  
the mere identity.  
But that's good when you're a beginner.

Rub-a-dub, rub-a-dub, bub-bub.  
What, pray tell, what, is this hub-bub?  
It's subgroups so cool.  
They obey the strict rule:  
Order-wise, they divide what they're sub ub.

In the kitchen you'll hear lots of slubbering.  
In the bathroom you might see a tubring.  
But the classroom, I claim  
is quite tidy and tame.  
All it's got is a subgroup or subring.

(More dialog between God and Kronecker)

"Integers are great," said God  
"and it's fun doing sum and prod.  
"But I've now got some notions  
"to tackle the quotients"  
and all except K oo'd and ah'd.

"It was nice dividing by bd.  
"But now I'm getting more greedy."  
Thus spake our Lord  
standing straight at the board  
writing Q-bracket-x and Q.E.D.

"One was good for a laugh.  
"And two was a treat for my staff.  
"But I've now got a hankerin'  
"to do some tankerin'.  
"I'll start with one-and-a-half."

"For seven long days labored I  
"with integers low, then high.  
"But now 'tis day eight.  
"Tis time to create  
"quotients and roots and pi."

Deep in waters hot, not tepid  
feeling rueful but intrepid  
our two creators  
and integer-traitors  
shrugged "Sorry, we just can't he'p it."

"Please forgive me," said Kronecker L.  
"I hope you won't send me to hell.  
"But x caught my attention  
"and x led to extension"  
and the rest we know only too well.

Yes, pity the genius and hero  
who fiddled, but not like Nero.  
He started with F.  
Now he's got nothing left  
for he sold his soul for a zero.

(Primitive Element Theorem)  
A fair maid from Alabam-y  
was given a double whammy.  
But she knew how to mingle  
so's to make it a single  
and ace the final exam-y.

(When is  $F(a)$  isomorphic to  $F(x)$  ?)  
If about  $a$  we have so spec's  
then  $a$  might as well be  $x$ .  
And  $e$  and  $\pi$   
are good  $a$ 's to try  
though it's not quite clear how it checks.

We might grow up to be tax-collectors  
or city or country inspectors.  
But Chap. 23  
ensures that we  
will never be angle-trisectors.

(God confesses to Kronecker)  
First I couldn't stop at ten.  
The I couldn't stop at  $n$ .  
Then  $Z$  and then  $Q$   
and the square root of two.  
But I've now come to  $C$ . Amen.

(Epilogue: by God and Kronecker)  
We work together like brothers.  
One creates, the other discovers.  
And Galleon writes.  
And Cohen recites.  
And we welcome any others.

# PROBABILITY

(Set theory)

Hippity hippity hoppity.  
A set can be built through a property  
with nouns and verbs  
and blubs and blurbs  
or any ol' thigamabob-ity.

A set can also be seen  
as an element-making machine.  
That set will consist  
of all s in some list  
with commas in between.

A fair maid from North Beelzabub said  
"I don't want to get us all upset  
"but if s in B  
"implies s in C  
"then B is of C a subset."

(The empty set)

Here comes phi, consisting of nada  
and neither your mada or fada  
can fill-er it up  
for lunch or for sup  
not even with air or with wada.

(Union and Intersection)

The union of 9th and Race  
is something we'd care not pace.  
But the intersection  
leads to detection  
of that fabulous Thai lunchplace.

(Universal Set)

Here is one more thigamajig  
that we'll need for this whole shindig.  
Yup, time for big-U  
too big to be true  
but not too true to be big.

(Complement)

Whatever set A hath not  
is what A-prime hath got.  
And their intersection  
defies detection  
but their union is a lot.

(Cartesian Product)

Let A be of women a set  
and B be of men; then we get  
from A-cross-B troubles  
all possible couples  
providing we know they're all het.

(  $n(A \cup B) = n(A) + n(B)$  )

Here's one more essential point:  
If A is from B disjoint  
then to give you, I'm glad  
permission to add  
at the risk of seeming flamboyant.

(  $n(A \cup B) = n(A) + n(B) - n(A \cap B)$  )

And now here's another cool fact  
on which you might soon need to act:  
You still, my comrade  
have permission to add  
and orders to also subtract.

(to calculate  $P_{n,r}$  )

Write down n, and then when you're done  
write parentheses n - 1.  
The next thing to do:  
Write n - 2.  
Keep going, but not down to none.

(to calculate  $C_{n,r}$  )

Here's something that's redder than henna:  
our blood when computing  $C_{n,r}$   
and the sweat and the tears  
as we and our peers  
do cancelling more than we wanna.

A fair maid named Mary Maltese  
got her P's all mixed up with her C's.  
She said "I do figure  
"the P's must be bigger  
"but hey! there are no guarantees."

Said her kissin' cousin Muriel  
"I'll give you a little tutorial.  
"In case you've forgotten  
"the C's, on the bottom,  
"sport an extra r-factorial."

(Mississippi & Co.)

And now here's a new little game:  
permuting when some are the same.  
Permuting these some  
will feel sort of numb  
although there might still be some pain.

(Reality Check)

As these poems you so dutifully edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I realize that pain  
does not rhyme with same.  
But it will if you're less anal-headed.

(Binomial Formula)

I'm sure you've a loyal FOIL-er  
and I won't be a FOIL-er spoiler.  
But when  $n$ , for its britch  
gets too big, you should switch  
and be to this formula loyaller.

(Outcomes)

When you do an experiment-y  
the possible outcomes are plenty.  
And it's kind of fun  
to find out which one.  
(It's a good one, Deo volente.)

(Sample Space)

And now let us go with the flow.  
Take the set of all outcomes, just so.  
Yep, time for big-S.  
Yes! yes! yes!  
Don't you dare say no!, no!, no!

(Events)

Here's something we'll use infinitum:  
Events (We'll both say 'em and write 'em.)  
And to poet-ize:  
Size-wize, they lies  
between the two previous items.

(Another Reality Check)

As these poems you all superintend  
allow me to make some amends.  
I know that "they lies"  
is bad grammar, you-guys.  
But what's one s among friends?

(Probability at last)

We can now introduce big-P.  
It means probability.  
P of S is one.  
P of phi is none.  
In between lies P of E.

(Probability of Disjoint Unions)  
Said a dude with a shrewd attitude  
"If events doth each other preclude  
"then it's easy to tune in  
"the prob of their union  
"providing we're in the right mood."

(  $P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F) - P(E \cap F)$  )  
The above should inspire deja vu.  
Take the rule from 6.2  
change the n to P.  
It seems clear to me.  
I hope it seems clear to you.

(  $P(E') = 1 - P(E)$  )  
For the prob of E's compliment  
take the prob of that E-event  
subtract it from one  
and you'll be all done.  
(You can give it up for Lent.)

If the prob of each outcome you do know  
then by Jupiter!, Jove! and by Juno!  
the sum of them all  
will happen to fall  
extremely close to uno.

(  $P(E) = n(E) / n(S)$  )  
And now here's our big opportune  
to do what we've always been doin' --  
permute and combine  
but twice this time  
on this beautiful late-afternoon.

(One More Reality Check)  
As these poems you so dutif'ly edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I know that time  
and combine don't rhyme  
but I'm not overly poetic.

(Expected Value)  
First, the possible values collect.  
And then, to their prob's connect.  
The grand finale:  
Expected val.  
What else could we hope to expect?

(Variance and Standard Deviation)  
Here's a question a trible mischevious:  
Are the deviations devious?  
The answer, I'm 'fraid  
can't be bought at Rite Aid.  
Perhaps we can get it at CVS.

(Binomial Distribution)  
n trials, each a yes or a no.  
We want x yes's, just so.  
The prob of that mix  
involves n-choose-x  
and the p's and the q's also.

There's no need to moan and groan  
'cause it's easy to do Poisson.  
There's nary a care  
with Cohen Mar  
and less with Marion Cohen.

(Markov chains)  
And now let us make a mad dash  
-- a transition matrices bash  
with gobs and gobs  
of "throwing prob's"  
regardless of whether we catch.

To see how to get here from thereabout  
P is all that we care about.  
But we're gonna need v  
most definitely  
for info concerning the whereabouts.

(The Last Reality Check, promise...)  
As these verses you classify  
as to whether the rhymes apply  
you'll notice that mix  
does not rhyme with x.  
The same would be true of y.

(Some farewell wisdom)  
So now that we've had quite our fill  
here's a life-lesson from my quill:  
The prob's, woebetide  
won't be on our side  
but the possibilities will.

# STAT

(Intro)

I promise, the mean isn't mean.  
Nor the deviation obscene.  
Nor is any statistic  
overtly sadistic.  
It hurts? I'll give you morphine.

(Summary)

Too big is a populace  
to get all the info in place.  
So we gather a sample  
(one that is ample)  
and infer 'til we're blue in the face.

(Data-values)

You write them all down on a sheet.  
(You try hard to make them look neat.)  
Some will be qual-  
and some will be quant-  
and ne'er the twain shall meet.

(Reality check)

As that last you so dutifully edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I know qual- and quant-  
(although I might want)  
don't rhyme -- still, I'm glad I said it.

(More about data-values)

Continuous, maybe discrete  
in inches, in yards, or in feet  
they're numbers all  
some big, some small  
and some of them dare to repeat.

(Some notation, for a population)

The size is denoted big-N.  
The mean is small-mu, and so then  
for standard dev, sig-  
ma (little not big)  
or so we would recommend.

(Some notation, for a sample)

Small-n, for the much smaller size  
(How could we proceed otherwise?).  
Then take the wild guess  
of X-bar and small-s  
or so we would strongly advise.

(Histograms)

The small x's, if you so please  
are the values that big-X doth seize  
and the various y  
so high and so spry  
are the relative frequencies.

(z-scores -- "x minus  $\mu$  / and Tyler, too.")

Three cheers for x minus  $\mu$ .  
It brings on those z-scores for you.  
For trains or for cats  
it makes for good Stats.  
But there's stuff on the bottom, too.

(Mean = average)

Just add up the numbers, each one  
divide by the n, and you're done.  
Gee, that sure was quick.  
(There must be some trick.)  
Time sure flies when you're having fun.

(Standard deviation)

Each number's some distance from  $\mu$   
and those each have squares (powers 2).  
Now, add those squares duly  
divide by n truly  
then take the square root, and you're through.

(Variance = the square of the standard deviation. -- a non-limerick))

This next is something you might love  
since it is almost like above.  
Do everything the very same  
except that last -- no, no! for shame!  
Take no square root -- no, no, no, no!  
Just leave it as it is, just so.  
I know it's tempting, but refrain  
from that last step, control your brain.  
I know it's hard; I know you'll grapple.  
But don't be like Eve; don't eat that apple.

(In other words: The var / is the square.)

(Another standard deviation non-limerick, based on a popular song)

"There was a man named Michael Finnegan.  
"He had whiskers on his chin-agin."  
He said, "Oh, no, must I divide by n again."  
"Yes, you must, so begin again."

(Epilogue)

He was quite tired of that shenanigan.  
But he began again.

(A little more standard deviation)  
Here's a question a trifle mischevious:  
Are the deviations devious?  
The answer, I'm 'fraid  
can't be bought at Rite Aid.  
Perhaps we can get it at CVS.

(Some probability limericks)  
When you do an experiment-y  
the possible outcomes are plenty.  
And it's kind of fun  
to find out which one.  
(It's a good one, Deo volente.)

(Sample space)  
And now let us go with the flow.  
Take the set of all outcomes, just so.  
Yep, time for big-S.  
Yes! yes! yes!  
Don't you dare say no! no! no!

(Events)  
Here's something we'll use infinitum:  
Events (We'll both say 'em and write 'em.)  
And to poet-ize:  
Size-wize, they lies  
between the two previous items.

(Reality Check)  
As these poems you all superintend  
allow me to make some amends.  
I know that "they lies"  
is bad grammar, you-guys.  
But what's one s among friends?

(Complement of an event)  
Whate'er event A hath not  
is what A-comp. hath got.  
And their intersection  
defies detection  
but their union is a lot.

(Probability at last)  
We can now introduce big-P.  
It means probability.  
P of S is one.  
P of phi is none.  
In between lies P of E.

$$( P(E') = 1 - P(E) )$$

For the prob. of E's complement  
take the prob of that E-event  
subtract it from one  
and you'll be all done.  
(You can give it up for Lent.)

$$( P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) )$$

Here is one more essential point:  
If A is from B disjoint  
then to give you, I'm glad  
permission to add  
at the risk of seeming flamboyant.

$$( P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) )$$

And now here's another cool fact  
on which you might soon need to act:  
You still, my comrade  
have permission to add  
and orders to also subtract.

(Expected value = mean of a random variable)

First, the possible values collect  
and then, to their prob's connect.

The grande finale:

Expected val.

What else could we hope to expect?

(Binomial probabilities)

n trials, each a yes or a no.

We want x yes's, just so.

The prob. of that mix

involves n-choose-x

and the p's and the q's also.

(Reality check)

As these verses you classify

as to whether the rhymes apply

you'll notice that x

does not rhyme with mix.

The same would be true of y.

"The trouble with a kitten is that

"eventually it becomes a cat."

And the trouble with Probability is that

eventually it becomes Stat.

(Binomial distributions)

There's one for each n and each p

in this functional big family.

n, for our files

is the number of trials

and p is the rel-frequency.

(to find the binomial prob's  $P(X_{n,p} = x)$  on the TI83+ -- a non-limerick)  
2nd VARS will get us flowing.  
0 gets us where we're going.  
n, a comma, p, another  
x -- and then it's almost over.  
Just make sure that you don't miss  
hitting end-parenthesis.  
And if you want to see this through  
ENTER is the thing to do.

( to find  $P(X_{n,p} \leq x)$  ) -- another non-limerick)  
This is something you should love  
since it's exactly as above.  
Only once diverge the path:  
Instead of 0, ALPHA MATH.

(normal random variables)  
The standard normal's a wonder.  
At the ends it's tossed asunder.  
Its mu is none.  
Its sigma is one  
which is also the area under.

That curve is an exponential  
but knowing that isn't essential.  
The 83+  
is enough for us  
to get a good job at Prudential.

(Non-standard normals)  
These curves are all wondrous, too.  
But they've got different sigma and mu.  
Mu is the head  
and sigma's the spread  
but the area's one, never two.

(Continuous random variables)  
It isn't the curves so grandee.  
It's the areas under, you see  
that do the fine job  
of giving the prob  
that X lies between a and b.

(normal less-than probs,  $P(Z < z)$ )  
As long as big-Z is our venue  
go right to your TI menu.  
Yes, 2nd VARS 2  
will start it for you  
and negative 10 will continue.

(Reality check)

As that last you so hastily edit  
keep on thing in mind, to my credit:  
I realize this "venue"  
won't rhyme with "continue".  
But the point is YOU won't forget it.

(Normal greater-than probs,  $P(Z > z)$ )

Again, 83 goes with Z.  
And again, 10 will join the soiree.  
But this time 10's sign is  
a plus, NOT a minus.  
Then all will proceed merrily.

(Fuzzy Central Limit Theorem -- one reason that normals are important)

Honest, this isn't a scam  
that the top of the histogram  
(and I do not err)  
for "most" X, yes sir  
is a normal curve, yes ma'm.

(finding prob's of NON-standard normals -- If you're wise / you'll standardize.)

Step One: Just take  $x$  minus  $\mu$   
divide by the sigma. Step two:  
Dig into your pocket  
or where'er you stock it  
and with your TI rendenvous.

(Central Limit Theorem)

If, ladies and fine gentlemen  
we take all the samples, size  $n$ ,  
the set of their means  
fulfills all our dreams  
for  $n$  at least three times ten.

(Confidence intervals for the population mean)

We can never exactly find  $\mu$ .  
That goes for approximate, too.  
But the more the dissent  
the more confident  
we can be; that's the best we can do.

(finding confidence intervals with the TI83+)

We start with STAT arrow-right twice  
and then choose the Z Int device  
next, put in our data  
some this-a, some that-a  
and CALCULATE makes it precise.

(Hypothesis testing --  $H_0$  / leads the way.)  
For this very significant lesson  
 $H_0$  is the thing to obsess on.  
 $H_1$  is there  
more for the flare.  
It makes things a little more pleasant.

(Various "tails" -- a non-limerick on the popular verse)  
"A one-tailed test is a priest.  
"A two-tailed test is a beast.  
"And I would bet a silk pajama  
"there isn't any three-tailed test."

A one-tailed test is quite a doozy.  
A two-tailed test can drive you whoozy.  
And I would bet a treasure chest  
there isn't any three-tailed test.

(The Test Statistic)  
Though its various symbols encumber  
it really is only a number.  
On top goes the gap  
on bottom more crap  
making us dumber and dumber.

(Rare Event Rule -- "Blame the claim.")  
If that test statistic is rare  
and  $H_0$  implies it ... well, there  
is some explanation  
spelling  $H_0$ 's cessation  
and  $H_1$ 's premiere.

(Using the TI83+ for hypothesis testing)  
From our trusty TI83  
we squeeze out the value of P.  
If alpha is steeper  
 $H_0$  is a keeper  
unless further tests disagree.

Yes, do trust out most trusty one.  
It says do, consider it done.  
And if P is foremost  
 $H_0$  is toast.  
(We can eat it with cinnamon.)

(Doing hypothesis tests on the TI83+ -- same limerick as for confidence intervals)  
We start with STAT arrow-right twice  
and then choose the proper device  
next, put in our data  
some this-a, some that-a  
and CALCULATE makes it precise.

(the family of distributions, Student's t)  
Guinness had said that he student  
so Gossett decided he student  
and so little t  
is more famous than he  
and bears the proud name of Student.

(hypothesis test, or confidence interval, for the difference between two population means)  
"Difference between" implies two  
and that will affect what we do.  
There should be two x  
(on the screen, in the text).  
I think that's a pretty good clue.

(Reality check)  
As these poems you so dutifully edit  
keep one thing in mind, to my credit:  
I know that x  
does not rhyme with text.  
But I'm not overly poetic.

(A little regression session)  
The data get listed in pairs  
(and we hope there won't be any spares).  
So the first thing to do:  
L1 and L2.  
(We won't mind if the data is scarce.)

The screen will show r-square and r.  
Both tell us how near or how far  
to or from  
a straight line outcome  
those points, when they're plotted, are.

(ANOVA)  
H-zero is quite long and lean  
a change from the same old routine  
with one or two lines  
of equal signs  
and the mu-sub-i inbetween.

H-A is much shorter and sweeter.  
No, it isn't an equal-sign breeder.  
It only needs one  
to counter H-none  
(and to help with the rhyme and the meter).

A fair maid from northeast Andover  
said "What's the big deal with ANOVA?"  
"It's mostly a matter  
of listing the data  
and soon after that it's all over."

Said her kind cousin Mary Magee  
"Ah, but what of the poor 83?  
"It contends right and left  
"with test stat. big-F".  
Said the maid, "I'm just glad that's not me."

(Farewell)  
If the pain persists on morphine  
try an anti-histamine  
or caffeine, or saline  
or dentine, or codeine  
or an anti-statistics vaccine.

ADDENDA: Bayes, and calculator tips

The theorem of Bayes is fun.  
And it's certain to get the job done  
with sensitivity  
and specificity  
and some help from P-D and one.

(T183+ Keystrokes for finding Cumulative Prob's) (Warning: Non-limerick)  
If this, instead of Earth, were Mars  
we might hit VIRTH instead of VARS.  
If Earth were square instead of round  
we'd arrow up instead of down.  
And if we meant "Return to Sender"  
what we hit would not be ENTER.  
If our goal were finding z  
the next four strokes just wouldn't be.  
And if we didn't know which z  
we wouldn't hit it, natur'ly.  
And if we want to poof this venture  
we'll forget to punch in ENTER.  
But since none of these is true  
we'll do just fine with what we do.

(T183+ Keystrokes for finding z when we know the cumulative prob up to z)  
This might be something we all love  
since most of it is like above.  
Just, if we think it's much too nice  
we'll arrow once instead of twice.  
And if we're masochists, indeed  
we'll do those four strokes we don't need.  
And if we didn't know which P  
we wouldn't hit it, natur'ly.  
And if we were intent-preventers  
we'd foget to punch in ENTER.  
All in all, it works out great  
with cause, effect, and help from fate.

# TOPOLOGY

We all know the concept of set  
but not of topology yet.  
The latter's a class  
of the first, but alas

there's more to the story than that.

E.g., it is quite necessary  
that they all be in  $X$ , solitary  
and that intersects, finite  
also be in it  
and union, arbitrary.

(Accumulation points)

These are points which a set seems to touch  
but not, we observe, very much.  
They can get just as close  
as your eye or your nose  
but never as close as your guts.

(Definition of continuity)

No epsilon-delta in this  
since numbers don't even exist.  
No fractions, decimals,  
infinitesimals.  
Instead, we must find a new twist.

Points jump from the old to the new  
as the sets they comprise also do.  
And so, in this scrimmage  
the  $f$ -inverse image  
of each  $V$  must be some  $U$ .

Tau from tau.  
That's the law.

(Base for a topology)

Hee-haw! Hee-haw! Hee-haw!  
A base is a sub-class of tau  
whose various unions  
hit through and through  
though it itself doesn't at all.

(Sub-base)

Rub-a-dub, rub-a-dub, dub.  
A sub-base is not always sub.  
But it is a base  
in certain ways  
so we welcome it into the club.

If  $X$  is discrete (tau not slight)  
we claim continuity bright  
for any old  $f$   
from  $X$  (on the left)  
no matter what  $Y$ 's on the right.

And here's something equally deft:  
If  $Y$  is discrete (not bereft)  
then open, we cite,

is  $Y$  on the right  
no matter what  $X$  on the left.

(Homeomorphisms)  
Oh, bring out the violins  
for here's where the drama begins:  
Whither goeth the first  
(for best or for worst)  
doth goeth the second -- they're twins.

(Metric spaces)  
These spaces we truly adore  
'cause they provide numbers galore  
for us to grab onto  
whenever we want to  
(just like we used to before).

(Hilbert space)  
Square-summable sequences come  
with a metric involving square-sum.  
And it's isomet-  
to a proper subset  
which might seem surprising to some.

(first countable)  
This means something's countable, yes.  
But what? Well, each point must possess  
a base local  
that is countable  
(perhaps more than one, but not less).

(second countable)  
Again something's countable, true.  
But this time just ONE thing will do  
for this kind of space:  
one countable base.  
I promise, we will not need two.

(separable spaces)  
They're countable, in some weird sense  
'cause some countable subset is dense  
thus reigning them in  
agin and agin  
making things rather intense.

A fair maid named Mary Michelle  
was smitten with Heine-Borel  
and her fair cousin Dinah  
liked Borel-Heine.  
They got on exceedingly well.

A net is a sort of jet-set.  
There's no fish that it cannot get.  
With an epsilon-pole

it reaches its goal  
and manages not to get wet.

(totally bounded)  
Hippety, hippety, hoppety!  
A set which possesses this property  
is finite, of sorts  
(by all sound reports)  
though by thinking a trifle sloppity.

(The Separation Properties --- Seeya later, separator...)

Heigh-ho! Heigh-ho! Heigh-ho!  
Three cheers for our trusty T-oh.  
It separates points  
all over the joint --  
really just one of them, though.

T-one is a nifty go-getter.  
It's a tasty trusty trend-setter.  
Yep, it's very hep  
the way it doth sep-  
and it separates them better.

Yahoo! Yahoo! Yahoo!  
It's time for our trusty T-two.  
It deftly gets  
TWO open sets.  
Yes, that's what we trust it to do.

(regular spaces)  
Here comes a whole different name  
playing a whole different game.  
It dares to make bets  
on points and closed sets  
putting the others to shame.

(normal)  
This guy does a little duet.  
It can take on any two sets  
that are diagnosed  
as being closed.  
It's the best separator yet.

SEPARATION STEW  
We learned T-oh  
to and fro.  
And then T-one  
was lots of fun.  
We did T-two  
fro and to.  
Went through T-three  
with glitz and glee.  
And T-three-and-a-half

gave us a laugh  
until T-four  
walked in the door.

I'm sure T-five  
would really jive  
and with T-six  
we'd get our kicks.  
Lucky T-seven  
would be pure heaven.  
Likewise T-eight  
would be just great.

T-alept-null  
would be wonderful.

But we stop at four.  
There is no more.

(In any normal space, any two closed sets can be “separated by a continuous function”.)  
How very superb and eclectic  
to deal with this layout so hectic.  
With mere open sets  
cool Urysohn gets  
all these numbers with nary a metric.

## CATEGORY THEORY

(Introduction: “Functions” in the Raw))  
O hear ye! O mathematician!  
Let us make the momentous decision  
to give up  $x$  and  $y$ .  
We can if we try

and still get to keep composition.

("Arrows only")

Now, objects are nice and compact.  
They stay put and concise and intact.  
But the stars of our show  
are those things that go  
from one to the other and back.

(Discrete category)

What's wrong with this party?, pray tell us.  
No one talking to anyone else.  
They stand in their corners  
like old Sunday mourners  
conversing with only themselves.

(poset categories, non-discrete)

This party's a bit more grandiose  
sporting conversation verbose.  
Just one way, true  
and one line, too  
but at least that one line isn't closed.

(Larger categories)

Uh-oh, I am getting a hunch  
that somebody's spiking the punch.  
That the gang is all here  
is most crystal clear  
and it's up to the raunchiest stunts.

There once was a chap from Milano  
who couldn't tell epi from mono.  
I told him "get wize  
"and just memorize"  
but I'm willing to bet he's not gonna.

(Duality)

Hippity hippity hop.  
Ev'ry C has its own Op.  
But off C-Op-Op  
both Op's we can drop.  
Yop, after one Op we can stop.

(Universal mapping properties)

O, what are little UMP's made of?  
And what are big UMP's made of?  
Arrows galore  
and much much more  
and that's just what we were afraid of.

(Product = projection-collection)

This hunter shoots many a spear  
but just one into each object here.

And any who dare  
to likewise fare  
must take a quick step to the rear.

(Co-product = injection-collection)  
It's the object of this grand old hunt.  
(Don't worry; the arrows are blunt.)  
And if anything tries  
to fare likewise  
it must take a quick step to the front.

(Are you an equalizer-sympathizer?)  
Its claim to fame is plain.  
Its aim is to tame the twain.  
Whatever the arrows  
how wide or how narrow  
so long as both ends are the same.

(Equalizers and co-equalizers)  
We can't get these kids to agree.  
They fight like Mohammed Ali.  
But between Dad and Mom  
on both sides, so calm  
methinks we can tame the twee.

(Pullbacks and pushouts)  
If one end is not the same  
we can still pay the game, I proclaim  
for a similar deal  
reinvents the wheel  
and we would be fools to complain.

(Limit)  
It's next in our grande repertoire  
shooting arrows so near and so far.  
And further, t'boot  
they have to commute  
with the arrows that already are.

(Products and equalizers get us all limits.)  
We don't need pullbacks or initials  
or ceremonies judicial.  
All we will need  
to finish the deed  
and all we will ask  
to accomplish the task  
and all we request  
to pass the test  
and all we require

to light our fire --  
yes, all we need seek  
are prod's and eq's.  
So on we will plod  
with eq's and prod's --  
to make the whole thing official

(Functors)

Here they come, marching in droves.  
Both the contrav's and the cov's.  
Some imbed  
and some forget  
and some we don't yet even know of.

(Adjoints:  $\text{Hom}(FC, D) \cong \text{Hom}(C, UD)$  )  
It's Old Hom Weekend, it seems.  
And in fact there are TWO Hom teams.  
But, except in name  
those teams are the same  
if we take matters to extremes.

(F and U)

Things would turn out mighty rotten  
and F would feel pretty downtrodden  
and most disappointed  
because un-adjointed  
if forgetful meant also forgotten.

(Seeya later, Yoneda)

Any C can be co-completed.  
Small-y is all that is needed.  
And if there's a goof  
somewhere in our proof  
don't worry, we'll simply delete it.

(Endo-functors and P-algebras)

Endo's are sprightly as minnow  
and they endo where they doth begin-o.  
Their activities buzz  
with P-algebras.  
Get ready for that to contin-o.

(Finale)

See, there's life after x's and y's.  
Do you savor the fun and surprise?  
Do you like how it works?  
And relish the perks  
like no compound fractions or pi's?

## FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM PROVEN

Fermat said the proof was too large  
to fit in the right or left marg-  
True, back of the paper  
or proof made to taper  
might help, but he said, "I'm in charge".

Now, Wiles didn't mind paper waste.  
In fact, it was true to his taste  
to use up whole reams  
to realize his dreams  
and he crossed out instead of erased.

Fermat was all snickers and smiles  
as he smugly stayed clear of the aisles  
and he thought "they'll be glum  
"but that proof will succumb  
"though it's going to take quite a-Wiles".

## A COMPUTER NON-LIMERICK

A one-r erol is a strain.  
A two-r errol is a pain.  
But we would be in greater peril  
if there were a three-r errrol.